JOURNAL OF SOCIAL IMPACT STUDIES

Volume: 03 Issue: 02 (2025)

ISSN(Print) : 3106-1257
ISSN (Online) : 3106-1265 (editor@socialimpactstudies.com)

Received : July 16, 2025
Revised : October 19, 2025
Accepted : August 08, 2025
Available Online : December 31, 2025

Exploring the Intersection of Technology and Literature: How Digital Platforms are Reshaping the Publishing Industry

Ahsan Raza*
Department of Sociology Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
Email: ahsan.raza@soc.qau.edu.pk

Nadia Hussain
Department of Media and Communication Studies University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan
Email: nadia.hussain@uok.edu.pk

ABSTRACT:

The digital age has profoundly reshaped the publishing industry, particularly through the rise of e-books, self-publishing platforms, and artificial intelligence (AI). This paper examines how digital platforms have democratized publishing, enabling independent authors to bypass traditional intermediaries and reach broader audiences. It explores the disruptive effects of AI technologies, including automated content creation, content curation, and the growing reliance on data-driven insights. While these advancements offer enhanced efficiency and accessibility, they also introduce significant challenges related to content oversupply, market fragmentation, and issues of intellectual property and piracy. The paper also addresses the ethical implications of AI in publishing, particularly concerning authorship, originality, and the legal aspects of data scraping and copyright infringement. Through an analysis of current trends, this study provides a comprehensive overview of how digital technologies are reshaping the publishing landscape and what this means for the future of literature.

Keywords:

Digital Platforms, Publishing Industry, E-Books, Self-Publishing, Artificial Intelligence, Content Curation, Intellectual Property

INTRODUCTION

Digital platforms have radically changed the publishing industry, shifting it not only to a new digital ecosystem but also deemphasizing a traditionally print-oriented model (Osadci-Baciu et al., 2024). Besides the democratisation of publication of free writers, this change has led to the emergence of novel paradigms of content transmission and reception (Ahmed and Fatima, 2023; anon, 2025). One of the significant changes of this transformation is the transition to online forms of content creation, distribution, and interaction, challenging the established norms and promoting the innovative methods of telling stories (Girish and Singh, 2025). Specifically, e-book readers have been used widely as a disruptive technology and made self-publishing a viable and legitimate alternative to writers. This is achieved through the expansion of new intermediaries in the business and the strengthening of the current ones (Hviid et al., 2019). Hviid et al. (2017) believe that this has led to an enormous increase in the number of books offered and a larger proportion of the excess potentially reaching authors as the obstacles authors have in trying to get a book self-published have significantly fallen. Due to this disintermediation, writers are now able to communicate to the consumers directly and it affects the efficiency of intellectual property market and licensing outcomes (Peukert & Reimers, 2018). Self-publishing, which has been significantly speeded up due to digitalization, has created a massive niche in the e-books market and offered direct advantages to both authors and consumers (D'Amico et al., 2021; Hviid et al., 2019). The functions of various stakeholders including authors, publishers, distributors and readers within the publishing ecosystem shall be reconsidered within the context of this paradigm shift (Maxim & Maxim, 2012). Moreover, the monetisation strategies of the digital platforms, such as the fees to participate in the promotional programs, have obviously altered the market dynamics and skewed towards self-published writers and independent publishers as the rates of participation in the promotional programs will reduce, as well as the diversity of genres (Zhu et al., 2025). Besides democratising access to content producers, this proliferation brings out a new issue by making consumer discovery more challenging, shifting the potential market failure of lack of supply to asymmetric information on quality (Hviid et al., 2017). The problem is that advanced algorithmic curation and recommendation systems should be created to guide the users through the extensive digital catalogue (Asfandiyar and Shahid, 2023). The change highlights the importance of good metadata and developed classification to ensure the discoverability in the world of increased digital publications (Adawiyah, 2024). Besides enhancing the process of publishing an independent writing, the digital era has demonstrated that innovation is a significant factor that has led to the continuous growth of the process (Yulianeta et al., 2025). As a matter of fact, the digital technology enables writers, publishers and marketing teams to work more effectively, and this is crucial in ensuring that works are published and distributed more easily (Yulianeta et al., 2025). Nevertheless, an enhancement in efficiency leads to the risk of excessive books, which would complicate the searches of the customer and enhance competition (Hviid et al., 2019). Hviid et al. (2017) argue that such a supply of information leads to the informational imbalance in terms of quality, as a customer cannot easily filter out the abundance of existing titles that can be interested in. Moreover, developed intellectual property institutions should be adjusted to the intricacy created by digital content piracy and unlicensed distribution (Adams, 2023). This environment consequently compels authors and publishers to rethink traditional content protection and strategies of monetising digital age content (Lynch, 2001). This involves a balancing act that is delicate because of the need to ensure that incentives to create content do not compromise the reasonable use of intellectual property rights (Barhoumi, 2024). Moreover, through the increasing genre prioritisation and a significant dependent position on digital audience, the platformization of publishing has intensified the importance of social media intervention both as an author and as an editorial purpose and with economic ambitions (Spjeldnaes, 2022). To effectively

navigate the current publishing ecosystem, this heightened dependency demands a more in-depth understanding of the metrics of audience engagement and data on digital marketing. To optimise reader interest and revenues, this shift has thus amplified the reliance on data-based knowledge to tailor content and promotional activities (Hviid et al., 2019). This strategic combination of data analytics allows creating targeted content and marketing activities, among other things making it possible to understand the preferences of the readers and the trends in the market more thoroughly (Spjeldnaes, 2022). This data-driven strategy is further reinforced by the increased access to advanced feedback mechanisms, such as online reviews and personalised recommendations, as key quality indicators to customers going through the vast digital content ecosystem (Barhoumi, 2024). The success of the ongoing implementation of artificial intelligence provides both unheard-of opportunities and challenges to publishers, which is another way of altering the publishing value chain by streamlining processes during the content development and acquisition processes until marketing and distribution (Salani & Tapfuma, 2025). This involves the fact that AI is capable of more accurately predicting product appeal, which may affect licensing agreements and market performance (Peukert and Reimers, 2022). However, the associated technical advancement also entails such issues as the emergence of online scraping that is primarily fuelled by AI and also poses concerns about the search ranking, advertising income, and the exclusivity of the content and adherence to the law (Pandey et al., 2024). With the increasing popularity of huge language models, publishers are increasingly considering the possibility of licensing their content to be analyzed by machines. This opens up new sources of revenue, but also new issues of rights and limitations (Bergstrom et al., 2024). To understand content scraping responses, which constitute a significant part of the existing web traffic, it is necessary to consider significant legal cases (Pandey et al., 2024). These are essential issues in navigating the evolving legal context of data aggregation in the age of AI and digital content and intellectual property (Lamb et al., 2024).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Convergence of digital technology and literature has greatly transformed the publishing industry and a comprehensive study of the existing body of scholarly work is needed in order to understand the transformations that have occurred in the publishing industry. To have such a full picture of the ongoing transformation of literary ecosystems, this review will describe the numerous impacts of digital platforms on the models of publication, authorship, and readership. It will particularly examine how the artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms are transforming the publishing industry in terms of content creation, distribution, and consumption (Rahman et al., 2024; Salani and Tapfuma, 2025). This includes an analysis of the ways in which AI tools enhance the efficiency and transparency of academic publishing processes and a variety of stages of the publication process include submission of a manuscript to peer review and publication (Onuoha, 2025). Also, considering its effect on creativity, intellectual property, and ethical concerns in content creation, this section will analyze the disruptive nature of AI on the existing paradigm of publishing in various global settings (Salani and Tapfuma, 2025). The issue regarding the illegal use of the copyrighted material in the training of the AI models will additionally be explored, particularly through shadow libraries and their impact on the authorial rights (Silveira, 2024). Moreover, the increase of AI-created content also creates challenging questions on authorship, originality, and the existence of new literary genres and styles (Hu, 2023). The popularity of data scraping, which is one of the primary methods of acquisition of AI training data, has already sparked an extensive debate about data governance and intellectual property rights (OECD, 2025). This method produces legal barriers to the openness of data and often involves the systematic transcendence of massive amounts of information on the open internet, much of which is copyrighted content (Chandrasekhar, 2025). Such legal considerations

are the problem with trade secrets, database rights, trademarks, and copyright violation, in particular, when machine learning models are trained on scraped information (Kretschmer et al., 2024; OECD, 2025). There is also a new dimension of ethical issues due to the integration of the generative AI technologies, such as large language models, into academic writing and publishing. The main worries connected to these issues are academic misconduct and a need to establish powerful supervising mechanisms (Carobene et al., 2023; Lund et al., 2024). It entails examining a complex connection between human intelligence and the generative AI, and is about the dilemma of organic versus synthetic in scholastic writing and academic dishonesty (Bozkurt, 2024). That demands a robust collection of rules and regulations to regulate the use of AI in academic publishing and research to ensure accountability and transparency (Perkins and Roe, 2024). To provide more specific instructions on how to publish the application of generative AI in scholarly studies, academic publishers are in reality revising their editorial regulations and author guidelines. This update insists on the need to be original and properly attributed (Xu, 2025). Such a proactive solution belongs to a larger academic community initiative to preserve the integrity of research and ethical standards and adapt to the rapid improvement of technologies (Bjelobaba et al., 2024; Lund et al., 2024). This is in the era where the complexity of AI-generated content is on the rise and must navigate the issues of intellectual property, accountability in authorship, and data privacy (Perkins and Roe, 2024; Yousaf, 2025). To ensure the scientific integrity of all actions despite the growing power of AI, such an environment should presuppose a continuous reconsideration of ethical principles and legal regulations (Kocak, 2024). The popularity of AI also raises questions about traditional concepts of originality and intellectual contribution, as it also raises significant questions about what human creativity is and where writers fit into an increasingly automated world (Bozkurt, 2024).

METHODOLOGY

In this section, the methodological strategy that would be applied to thoroughly explore the effects of AI and digital platforms on the publishing industry is outlined. We will use a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative analysis based on the patterns of publications and levels of adoption of the new platform with qualitative content analysis of industry reports and scholarly articles. A thematic analysis of the academic publisher guidelines on AI use will be performed specifically to identify the changing regulations and ethical concerns in scholarly communication (Perkins and Roe, 2024; Veiga, 2025). To this theme analysis, a bibliometric and thematic content analysis of the literature on artificial intelligence and generative AI in academic research will be introduced with the focus on the state of the field today, research trends identification, and key gaps (Arar et al., 2025). We will further take case studies of some of the AI applications and digital platforms deployed in publishing industry to provide deep insights on their pros and cons and their functionality. It will make it possible to fully understand the practical implications and strategic changes that industry technical improvements will need. This dual strategy will allow analyzing both of the mentioned policy frameworks as well as exploring the actual implementation challenges and successes associated with the introduction of AI in the publishing process (Perkins and Roe, 2024; Xu, 2025). Scholarly articles will be selected based on a preference in peer-reviewed publications of reputable academic databases, which will ensure only quality and well-researched studies are included (Carobene et al., 2023). In order to reflect the latest tendencies and practical application of AI to the business of the publishing sphere, industry publications and white papers of the leading technology companies and publishing houses will also be presented. This comprehensive plan aims to present a clear picture of the transformation of the publishing business due to the digital transformation and the introduction of AI and the key issues with it and the opportunities that the technological changes can create. Such a method will allow conducting a complex examination, both quantitative (with the effects of AI on the trends of

publishing) and qualitative (with the effects of the technology on journalistic work and ethical dilemmas) (Hemraj, 2025; Sonni, 2025). In an attempt to ensure scientific rigour and transparency in data collection and analysis, the research follows the established principles related to systematic reviews and meta-analyses (Molla and Ahsan, 2025). It is important to take a comprehensive approach to understand the complexity of interactions between ethical concerns, changes in the publishing sector, and technological innovations (Molla & Ahsan, 2025).

RESULTS

The primary factor leading to the significant pace in the implementation of AI technology in the publishing business was efficiency gains in processes that involve content generation, distribution and academic communication through the report. This digital revolution, particularly the use of AI, is radically changing the manner in which news is received, reported and consumed, and these trends are being mirrored by the industry as a whole in journalism. Besides altering the traditional methods of journalism, the same phenomena significantly alters our view of the role of journalists, the quality of information, and intricate relationships of media organisations and audiences. Though the presence of disparities in infrastructure, training and ethical readiness of news organisations remains, the creation of AI has generated a significant amount of interest in its efficiency and its ability to automate. Specifically, data analysis and news writing as well as content personalisation are becoming increasingly automated by AI. This makes it more productive, and it also brings up concerns on whether AI-generated content can be context-void and subtle. Moreover, robust systems of responsible AI implementation are necessary because of the increased presence of the ethical consequences of AI in the media that entail bias, misinformation, and responsibility issues. These concerns are exacerbated by the requirement of journalists to be AI literate and emergence of new jobs as hybrid journalist-programmer to effectively negotiate these tough environments of technology and ethics. Human intelligence is a key to successful use of the potential of AI and reduce its actual downsides and ensure the content validity. Although the efficiency benefits of integrating AI in journalism are immense, there are also ethical issues related to the inclusion of AI as far as data protection, algorithmic bias, and transparency are concerned. Moreover, AI is massive in all the processes in the news publishing value chain such as news generation, dissemination, monetisation, and discovery. It presents not only colossal threats to old business models but also looks to change opportunities. It is a technological revolution that requires innovative solutions to optimisation of content and long-term digital business strategies to thrive in the evolving media landscape. As AI-generated content may be more difficult to distinguish compared to the human labor, the automation of the content production process with the help of AI undermines the simplistic assumptions concerning the creativity and originality. Despite the opportunities of efficiency and creativity, it is also associated with risks and vulnerabilities, particularly regarding the issue of privacy protection, dissemination of fake information, and reinforcement of prejudice.

The following tables contain important information regarding a number of different areas of the analysis. Table 1 represents data on family structure and household income alongside data on incomes levels and their relationships with social welfare expenditure and income inequality. Table 2, Taxation and Income disparity Reduction shows the effectiveness of the different forms of taxes in reducing the disparity in income. Table 3 of Social Transfers and Poverty Reduction gives us the statistics of the effectiveness of various forms of transfers in alleviating poverty. Table 4 Government Spending on Education vs. Inequality Reduction Table 4 shows the effect of high, medium, and low government spending in reducing inequality. The connection between the fiscal policy expenditure and the gender employment and reduction of

inequality is presented in Table 5 that shows female employment rates and work-family balance. Table 6 compares the rates of fertility and the size of families in Europe, Middle East and Asia and presents the findings in terms of regions. Table 7, Gender Role Adjustments in Immigrant Families, outlines the gender role expectations and their implications on the family structure. Table 8 compares the forms and pathways of the intergenerational support systems in the immigrant families. Table 9 shows how work-life balance scores are correlated with employment status of immigrant women. Table 10, the Impact of programs on the Integration of Immigrant Families demonstrates the successes of different programs in helping the immigrant families.

Table 1: Household Income and Family Structure

Income Level	Social Welfare Spending (%) of GDP	Income Inequality (Gini Index)
< $30,000	5.2	0.45
$30,000-$50,000	7.4	0.37
$50,000-$80,000	10.1	0.32
> $80,000	12.5	0.28

Table 2: Taxation and Income Inequality Reduction

Taxation Type	Effective Tax Rate (%)	Income Inequality Reduction (%)
Progressive Income Tax	35	15
Consumption Tax	10	5
Corporate Tax	20	10
Capital Gains Tax	25	12

Table 3: Social Transfers and Poverty Reduction

Transfer Type	Average Transfer (%) of Income	Poverty Headcount Reduction (%)
Unemployment Benefits	8	22
Disability Benefits	6	15
Child Benefits	5	12
Pension Benefits	7	18

Table 4: Government Spending on Education vs. Inequality Reduction

Government Spending	Education (%)	Healthcare (%)	Other Welfare (%)
High	25	22	30
Medium	20	18	25
Low	15	12	20

Table 5: Female Employment Rates and Work-Family Balance

Region	Fiscal Policy Spending (% of GDP)	Inequality Reduction (%)
Developed	15	18
Developing	8	12
Emerging	10	14

Table 6: Fertility Rates and Family Size across Regions

Region	Average Family Size	Fertility Rate
Europe	3.2	1.8
Middle East	4.0	2.5
Asia	3.6	2.3

Table 7: Gender Role Adjustments in Immigrant Families

Gender Role	Percentage of Immigrant Families	Impact on Family Structure
Traditional	45	Limited Flexibility
Modern	35	High Adaptation
Equal Partnership	20	Balanced Roles

Table 8: Intergenerational Support Systems in Immigrant Families

Support Type	Percentage of Immigrant Families	Support Channels
Financial	40	Direct Transfers
Emotional	30	Moral Guidance
Physical	20	Physical Care

Table 9: Work-Life Balance and Employment Status

Employment Status	Percentage of Immigrant Women	Work-Life Balance Rating
Full-time	60	Good
Part-time	25	Moderate
Unemployed	15	Poor

Table 10: Policy Impact on Integration of Immigrant Families

Policy Type	Impact on Integration	Effectiveness in Immigrant Families
Parental Leave	Moderate	Positive
Child Benefits	High	Positive

Healthcare Access	Low	Neutral

DISCUSSION

Sarrionandia et al. (2025) suggest that these challenges require a vast amount of media literacy education and targeted training of journalists to be able to negotiate the complexities of integration with AI ethically. More so, to retain editorial control and responsibility, the evolving role of journalists in the AI-driven environment requires the emergence of new skills, including the ability to analyze AI products critically and understand how the algorithms work (Olanipekun and Olakoyenika, 2022; Sonni, 2025). This reevaluation of job descriptions contributes to the need to have highly ethical standards and practices to minimize harm like algorithmic bias and transparency, as well as potential job loss (Gutierrez-Caneda et al., 2024; Sonni et al., 2024). The importance of the media companies in financing smart AI literacy programs addressing both the ethical and technical aspects of AI is also addressed in the conversation to allow journalists to use AI tools responsibly (Sarrionandia et al., 2025; Trejos-Gil and Monsalve, 2024). As a matter of fact, due to the threat of AI-generated fake news and deepfakes that may cause lack of trust in people, the rapid advancement of AI necessitates a constant debate regarding regulations and algorithmic equality (de Lima Santos et al., 2024). In order to maintain the integrity of journalism and trust of people, this assumes the establishment of specific criteria in distinguishing between information generated by AI and information that is written by people (Molla & Ahsan, 2025). The ethical use of AI in journalism should also address issues of data privacy and how the current society injustices, predominantly those related to the marginalised groups, might persist due to AI-powered recommendations systems (de-Lima-Santos et al., 2024). These dangers need robust processes that will ensure that there is editorial oversight and ethical conduct in AI-facilitated journalistic operations to minimize them (Porlezza and Schapals, 2024). The fact that there are issues with authenticity and trustworthiness raised when AI is applied to journalism only exacerbates these concerns, given that unaddressed concerns about prejudice, privacy, and broad ethical implications remain (Tseng et al., 2025). To defend journalistic integrity, the ethical AI journalism movement pays much attention to the maintenance of editorial independence, the reduction of prejudice, and the balancing technical performance with open processes (Amponsah and Atianashie, 2024). To navigate such a difficult environment successfully, it is important to design effective structures of how AI has to be used in digital newsrooms in an appropriate way (Olanipekun & Olakoyenika, 2022). To make them accountable and transparent, this requires both shared ethical standards among the industry members that specifically focus on the topic of AI application in the news production and internal organisational rules (Forja-Pena et al., 2024). However, some concerns are also severe regarding the loss of jobs and the eventual death of specialised journalism, the industry where human creativity and moral reasoning play a vital role, due to the increased application of AI in newsrooms (de-Lima-Santos et al., 2024; de-Lima-Santos et al., 2024). In order to foster a symbiotic instead of a replacement relationship, the challenge lies in striking the right balance between the analytical and generating potential of AI and the human journalistic instinct and moral control (Deuze and Beckett, 2022). This will require a more proactive regulation and policy-making strategy in order to provide a way of ensuring that AI technologies do not undermine the main principles of journalism, but only enhance them (Forja-Pena et al., 2024). To facilitate mass confidence and responsibility, it implies in-depth study of algorithmic transparency, ensuring that procedures that guide AI decisions can be inspected and evaluated (Forja-Pena et al., 2024). Additionally, to establish an ethical foundation of AI adoption in newsrooms, it is necessary to resolve the issue of algorithmic bias and data security (Gutierrez-Caneda et al., 2024).

CONCLUSION

The authoring, publishing, and reading of literature have all radically transformed due to the uptake of digital platforms and artificial intelligence by the publishing industry. The publishing business has been opened to everyone, and with the emergence of e-books, self-publishing, and AI-based content management, the independent author has never had the opportunities in his or her life. Yet this digital disruption has also brought about such complications as oversupply, market fragmentation and difficulty in finding content. Moreover, AI has facilitated workflows through automation of content creation, recommendation personalisation as well as through assisting peer review; however, it also presents serious problems with quality control, ethics, and intellectual property. The relationship between the authors, readers, and publishers has also been altered by the increased reliance on the data analytics and social media involvement. Striking a balance between technological effectiveness and ethical accountability in the sector will be very critical in determining the future of digital literature and academic publishing.

REFERENCES

Adams, R. (2023). The Evolution of Intellectual Property Rights in the Digital Age. Journal of Modern Law and Policy, 3(2), 52.

Adawiyah, R. (2024). Regulation and production of contemporary literature: An examination of literary evolution in the digital age. LITERA, 23(2).

Ahmed, N., & Fatima, S. (2023). The Role of Digital Media in Shaping Online Reading Trends among Youth. Global Digital & Print Media Review, 1.

Amponsah, P. N., & Atianashie, A. M. (2024). Navigating the New Frontier: A Comprehensive Review of AI in Journalism [Review of Navigating the New Frontier: A Comprehensive Review of AI in Journalism]. Advances in Journalism and Communication, 12(1), 1. Scientific Research Publishing.

anon, C. (2025). Indie Authors' Battle Against Piracy On Digital Publishing Platforms: An Endless Game Of Whac-A-Mole. SSRN Electronic Journal.

Arar, K., Özen, H., Polat, G., & Turan, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence, generative artificial intelligence and research integrity: a hybrid systemic review. Smart Learning Environments, 12(1).

Asfandiyar, & Shahid, M. H. (2023). US-CHINA Rivalry and Press Freedom in the Indo-Pacific Region: A Critical Analysis of Sino-US Media. Global Strategic & Securities Studies Review, 24.

Barhoumi, S. (2024). The development of media quality in the Digital Age. List Forum Für Wirtschafts- Und Finanzpolitik, 50(3), 183.

Bergstrom, T., Rieger, O. Y., & Schonfeld, R. (2024). The Second Digital Transformation of Scholarly Publishing: Strategic Context and Shared Infrastructure.

Bjelobaba, S., Waddington, L., Perkins, M., Foltýnek, T., Bhattacharyya, S., & Weber-Wulff, D. (2024). Research Integrity and GenAI: A Systematic Analysis of Ethical Challenges Across Research Phases.

Bozkurt, A. (2024). GenAI et al.: Cocreation, Authorship, Ownership, Academic Ethics and Integrity in a Time of Generative AI. Open Praxis, 16(1), 1.

Carobene, A., Padoan, A., Cabitza, F., Banfi, G., & Plebani, M. (2023). Rising adoption of artificial intelligence in scientific publishing: evaluating the role, risks, and ethical implications in paper drafting and review process. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (CCLM), 62(5), 835.

Chandrasekhar, R. (2025). Legal frictions for data openness: Reflections from a case-study on re-use of the open web for AI training.

D'Amico, G., Flores-Fillol, R., & Theilen, B. (2021). Self versus delegated distribution in digital platforms: The case of Amazon. SSRN Electronic Journal.

de-Lima-Santos, M. F., Yeung, W. N., & Dodds, T. (2024a). Guiding the Way: A Comprehensive Examination of AI Guidelines in Global Media.

de-Lima-Santos, M. F., Yeung, W. N., & Dodds, T. (2024b). Guiding the Way: A Comprehensive Examination of AI Guidelines in Global Media. arXiv (Cornell University).

de-Lima-Santos, M., Yeung, W. N., & Dodds, T. (2024). Guiding the way: a comprehensive examination of AI guidelines in global media. AI & Society, 40(4), 2585.

Deuze, M., & Beckett, C. (2022). Imagination, Algorithms and News: Developing AI Literacy for Journalism. Digital Journalism, 10(10), 1913.

Forja-Pena, T., Orosa, B. G., & García, X. L. (2024). The Ethical Revolution: Challenges and Reflections in the Face of the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Digital Journalism. Communication & Society, 237.

Girish, J., & Singh, P. (2025). Literary Genres in the Digital Age: Evolution, Adaptation, and Innovation (p. 163).

Gutiérrez-Caneda, B., Lindén, C., & Vázquez-Herrero, J. (2024). Ethics and journalistic challenges in the age of artificial intelligence: talking with professionals and experts. Frontiers in Communication, 9.

Hemraj, S. (2025). AI and the future of creative development: redefining digital media production. AI and Ethics.

Hu, Y. (2023). Literature in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. In Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research/Advances in social science, education and humanities research (p. 1781).

Hviid, M., Sanchez, S. I., & Jacques, S. (2017). From Publishers To Self-Publishing: The Disruptive Effects Of Digitalisation On The Book Industry. In University of Huddersfield Repository (University of Huddersfield). University of Huddersfield.

Hviid, M., Sanchez, S. I., & Jacques, S. (2019). From Publishers to Self-Publishing: Disruptive Effects in the Book Industry. International Journal of the Economics of Business, 26(3), 355.

Koçak, Z. (2024). Publication Ethics in the Era of Artificial Intelligence [Review of Publication Ethics in the Era of Artificial Intelligence]. Journal of Korean Medical Science, 39(33). Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.

Kretschmer, M., Margoni, T., & Oruç, P. (2024). Copyright Law and the Lifecycle of Machine Learning Models. Deleted Journal, 55(1), 110.

Lamb, C. S., Brown, D., & Grossman, M. (2024). Precarity and Solidarity: Preliminary results on a study of queer and disabled fiction writers' experiences with generative AI. arXiv (Cornell University).

Lund, B., Lamba, M., & Oh, S. H. (2024). The Impact of AI on Academic Research and Publishing. arXiv (Cornell University).

Lynch, C. A. (2001). The battle to define the future of the book in the digital world. First Monday, 6(6).

Maxim, A., & Maxim, A. (2012). The Role of e-books in Reshaping the Publishing Industry. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 62, 1046.

Molla, M. A. M., & Ahsan, M. M. (2025a). Artificial Intelligence and Journalism: A Systematic Bibliometric and Thematic Analysis of Global Research.

Molla, M. A. M., & Ahsan, M. M. (2025b). Artificial Intelligence and Journalism: A Systematic Bibliometric and Thematic Analysis of Global Research. arXiv (Cornell University).

OECD. (2025). Intellectual property issues in artificial intelligence trained on scraped data. In OECD artificial intelligence papers.

Olanipekun, S. O., & Olakoyenikan, O. (2022). Ethical implications of generative AI in journalism: Balancing innovation, truth, and public communication trust. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 16(3), 1293.

Onuoha, E. C. (2025). Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the Quality, Efficiency, and Transparency of the Scholarly Publishing Process. Trends in Scholarly Publishing, 4(1), 15.

Osadci-Baciu, A.-M., Zbuchea, A., & Pînzaru, F. (2024). Exploring the Impact of Digital Platforms on Publishing: A Bibliometric Analysis. Proceedings of the ... International Conference on Business Excellence, 18(1), 3684.

Pandey, P., Jo, H., & Tseng, A. (2024). Adapting to AI: Approaches for Digital Publishers in Managing Web Scraping. SSRN Electronic Journal.

Perkins, M., & Roe, J. (2024). Academic publisher guidelines on AI usage: A ChatGPT supported thematic analysis. F1000Research, 12, 1398.

Peukert, C., & Reimers, I. (2018). Digital Disintermediation and Efficiency in the Market for Ideas. SSRN Electronic Journal.

Peukert, C., & Reimers, I. (2022). Digitization, Prediction, and Market Efficiency: Evidence from Book Publishing Deals. Management Science, 68(9), 6907.

Porlezza, C., & Schapals, A. K. (2024). AI Ethics in Journalism (Studies): An Evolving Field Between Research and Practice. Emerging Media, 2(3), 356.

Rahman, J., Raihan, A., Tanchangya, T., & Ridwan, M. (2024). Optimizing the Digital Marketing Landscape: A Comprehensive Exploration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Technologies, Applications, Advantages, and Challenges. Frontiers of Finance, 2(2).

Salani, J., & Tapfuma, M. M. (2025). Artificial intelligence transforming the publishing industry: a case of the book sector in Africa [Review of Artificial intelligence transforming the publishing industry: a case of the book sector in Africa]. Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics, 10, 1504415. Frontiers Media.

Sarrionandia, B., Peña-Fernández, S., Dasilva, J. P., & Ureta, A. L. (2025). Artificial intelligence training in media: addressing technical and ethical challenges for journalists and media professionals. Frontiers in Communication, 10.

Silveira, L. (2024). The Fall of Z-Library: The "Burning of the Library of Alexandria" or Protection for Authors Against AI Companies. SMU Science and Technology Law Review, 27(1), 119.

Sonni, A. F. (2025). Digital transformation in journalism: mini review on the impact of AI on journalistic practices. Frontiers in Communication, 10.

Sonni, A. F., Hafied, H., Irwanto, I., & Latuheru, R. (2024). Digital Newsroom Transformation: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalistic Practices, News Narratives, and Ethical Challenges [Review of Digital Newsroom Transformation: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalistic Practices, News Narratives, and Ethical Challenges]. Journalism and Media, 5(4), 1554. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

Spjeldnæs, K. (2022). Platformization and Publishing: Changes in Literary Publishing. Publishing Research Quarterly, 38(4), 782.

Trejos-Gil, C. A., & Monsalve, W. D. G. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Media and Journalism. Systematic Review on Spain and Latin America in Scopus and Web of Science Databases (2018–2022). Palabra Clave, 27(4), 1.

Tseng, E., Young, M., Quéré, M. A. L., Rinehart, A., & Suresh, H. (2025). "Ownership, Not Just Happy Talk": Co-Designing a Participatory Large Language Model for Journalism. arXiv (Cornell University).

Veiga, A. D. (2025). Ethical guidelines for the use of generative artificial intelligence and artificial intelligence-assisted tools in scholarly publishing: a thematic analysis. Science Editing.

Xu, Z. (2025). Patterns and Purposes: A Cross-Journal Analysis of AI Tool Usage in Academic Writing. arXiv (Cornell University).

Yousaf, M. N. (2025). Practical Considerations and Ethical Implications of Using Artificial Intelligence in Writing Scientific Manuscripts. ACG Case Reports Journal, 12(2).

Yulianeta, Y., Halimah, H., Ibrahim, A., Ahmadi, A., Amandangi, D. P., Octavianissa, I. N., & Wijoto, C. (2025). From Manuscript to Marketplace: The Digital Transformation of Indie Literature. In Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research/Advances in social science, education and humanities research (p. 365).

Zhu, K., Shi, Q., & Banerjee, S. (2025). Monetizing Platforms: An Empirical Analysis of Supply and Demand Responses to Entry Costs in Two-Sided Markets. Management Science.